



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/734,409 | 12/12/2003 | Eric L. Langley | 63733.2 | 1167 |
| 27883 | 7590 | 10/20/2005 | EXAMINER | |
| GRADY K. BERGEN 3333 LEE PARKWAY SUITE 600 DALLAS, TX 75219 | | | PATTERSON, MARIE D | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3728 | |

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/734,409

Applicant(s)

LANGLEY, ERIC L.

Examiner

Marie Patterson

Art Unit

3728

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 September 2005.
2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-71 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) 14, 16-22, 39, 41-47, 56, 57, 65 and 66 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13, 15, 23-38, 40, 48-55, 59-64 and 67-71 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/9/04.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: _____.

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I, Figures 1-4B in the reply filed on 9/16/05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
2. Claims 14, 16-22, 39, 41-47, 56, 57, 65, and 66 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 9/16/05.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 23-25 and 48-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 23-25 and 48-50 the phrases "football shoe", "running shoe", and "basketball shoe" are vague and indefinite because it is not clear what structural limitations applicant intends to encompass with such language. These phrases appear to merely recite and intended use of the shoe and do not recite any further structural limitations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

Art Unit: 3728

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 11, 13, 15, 23-29, 31, 32, 36, 38, 40, 48-50, 58, 60-64, 67, 69, and 70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Borden (5090138).

Borden shows a system comprising a sole inliner (12), a coupling (16, 38, or 38B), a back inliner (14), an upper (40/46) and a flexible member (laces) as claimed.

6. Claims 1, 2, 11, 12, 15, 23-27, 36, 37, 40, 48-50, 58, and 60-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Rullier (5369897).

Rullier shows a system comprising a sole inliner (12), a back inliner (13), biasing coupling means (50 or 60), and an upper (10) as claimed.

7. Claims 1, 2, 11, 12, 15, 23-27, 36, 37, 40, 48-50, and 58-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Borel (5909885).

Borel shows a system comprising a sole inliner (12), a back inliner (13), biasing coupling means (100 which may be integrally formed, see column 3 lines 45-60), and an upper (10) as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 4, 5, 29, 30, 67, 68, 70, and 71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borden (5090138) in view of either McDonald (5319869) or Aveni (5467537).

Borden shows a system substantially as claimed except for the exact upper neoprene flexible member. Either McDonald or Aveni teaches providing a flexible neoprene member (11 or 30) in an upper. It would have been obvious to provide a flexible neoprene member as taught by either McDonald or Aveni in the system of Borden to provide a tighter, more conforming fit.

10. Claims 8, 9, 33, 34, 51, 52, 54, and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borden in view of Monti (5992057).

Borden shows a system substantially as claimed except for a cover. Monti teaches providing a cover (402) on footwear. It would have been obvious to provide a cover as taught by Monti in the system of Borden to prevent laces from being interfering and to keep the footwear system clean.

11. Claims 10 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 8, 9, 33, 34, 51, 52, 54, and 55 above, and further in view of either McDonald (5319869) or Aveni (5467537).

Borden as modified aboveshow a system substantially as claimed except for the exact upper neoprene flexible member. Either McDonald or Aveni teaches providing a flexible neoprene member (11 or 30) in an upper. It would have been obvious to provide a flexible neoprene member as taught by either McDonald or Aveni in the system of Borden as modified above to provide a tighter, more conforming fit.

12. Claims 8, 33, 51, 53, and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rullier in view of Monti (5992057).

Rullier shows a system substantially as claimed except for a cover. Monti teaches providing a cover (402) on footwear. It would have been obvious to provide a cover as taught by Monti in the system of Rullier to prevent laces from being interfering and to keep the footwear system clean.

1. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at (572)272-8300 **(FORMAL FAXES ONLY)**. Please identify Examiner Marie Patterson of Art Unit 3728 at the top of your cover sheet.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Marie Patterson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4559. The examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM Mon-Wed.



Marie Patterson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3728